

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

1. Claim 5 is merged into Claim 1 to form the currently amended claim 1. Claim 5 is therefore canceled. Claims 2, 3, 6-8 are amended to remove the redundant word
5 “further”. Claim 4 is amended to fix a grammatical error. Claims 9-11 are amended to claim the “light guide plate” instead of the “edge-light backlight module”, so that **the process of making in claims 1-4, 6-8 is consistent with the product made in claims 9-11**. No new matter is introduced in these amendments.

10 2. **Election/Restriction:**

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-8, drawn to a method of fabricating a light guide plate, classified in class 264, subclass 2.5 from a machined surface of the mold.
- 15 II. Claims 9-11, drawn to an edge-light backlight module having a light source and light guide plate, classified in class 362, subclass 600.

The inventions are distinct, each from other because of the following reasons:

Inventions (Group I) and (Group II) are related as process of making and product made.

The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product
20 as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP 806.05(f)). In the instant case contoured surface of the molding die and molding matrix can be created by the method of galvanoplasty or electric discharge method, therefore restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

25 **Response:**

Applicant hereby elects invention I with traverse in response to the above restriction requirement. The claims readable upon the elected invention are the currently amended

Appl. No. 10/711,999
Amdt. dated October 23, 2006
Reply to Office action of September 26, 2006

claims 1-4, 6-8. Thereafter, **the currently amended claims 9-11 are withdrawn.**

3. Request for reinstating the withdrawn claims 9-11:

5 Applicant believes that the present application does not need a species election.
Although the contoured surface of the molding die and molding matrix can be created by the
method of galvanoplasty or electric discharge method, the method of galvanoplasty or electric
discharge method cannot make micro-mirror structures. In fact, the micro-mirror structures as
claimed can only be formed by laser beams. Since the product as claimed cannot be made by
10 another and materially different process, it is believed that the restriction for examination
purposes as indicated is improper. It is therefore requested to withdraw the
Election/Restrictions requirement, and to reinstate the withdrawn claims 9-11 into the instant
application.

15 Applicant respectfully requests that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case.

Appl. No. 10/711,999
Amdt. dated October 23, 2006
Reply to Office action of September 26, 2006

Sincerely yours,



Date: 10/23/2006

- 5 Winston Hsu, Patent Agent No. 41,526
P.O. BOX 506, Merrifield, VA 22116, U.S.A.
Voice Mail: 302-729-1562
Facsimile: 806-498-6673
e-mail : winstonhsu@naipo.com

10

Note: Please leave a message in my voice mail if you need to talk to me. (The time in D.C. is 12 hours behind the Taiwan time, i.e. 9 AM in D.C. = 9 PM in Taiwan.)